

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/133,989

Applicant(s)

Doan

Examiner
Laura Edwards

Group Art Unit
1734



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Laura Edwards

(3) _____

(2) Charles Brantley II

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 20, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Honda (JP No. 8-5825) and Ushida et al (JP 56-73579)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Examiner proposed language to place into an existing claim or new claim to define over the above prior art. It was suggested that Applicant recite language to reflect a bifurcated solvent dispensing tube or conduit disposed within a vacuum tube or conduit, inlets of the solvent dispensing tube and vacuum tube both being disposed above the substrate, and said inlets being coaxial. Also, Applicant was suggested to define the apparatus as being structured to provide "surround vacuum" which controls solvent and particle splashing during removal of the edge bead and which improves edge profile of the coating material as disclosed in the specification on page 4, lines 8-12. In response to the suggestions, Applicant would fax a proposed amendment for consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.